# **Discrete Symmetry in Lorentzian Spaces**

# aka Spacetime Kaleidoscopes

Mary Letey

Supervisor: Latham Boyle

#### Outline

- Motivations
- **Known** Examples + Results
- Minkowski Examples
- Our Generalised **Definition** + **Results**

# **Motivation** • Discrete Symmetry



**, Y** 

## **Motivation** • Reflections

All above operations are generated by **reflections**.



Υ Ψ

## **Motivation** • Reflections

All above operations are generated by reflections.



## **Motivation** • Reflections

**Theorem (***Cartan-Dieudonné***)** For a vector space V of dimension n with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form, any orthogonal transformation is a composition of at most n hyperplane reflections.

# **Motivation** • Geometry $\longleftrightarrow$ Algebra

- **<u>Root Vector</u>** =  $\vec{r}$  defines hyperplane normal
- $\vec{x}$  is any Euclidean vector

 $\circ$ 

good ole Euclidean inner product for now

0

#### Reflection

$$\langle \vec{x}, \vec{r} 
angle = 0$$

Hyperplane

 $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{r} \rangle = d$ 

$$\vec{x} \mapsto R(\vec{r})\vec{x}$$
 de

$$R(\vec{r})\vec{x}$$
 defined by  
reflection operation

$$R(\vec{r})^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} - 2 \frac{r_{\beta} r^{\alpha}}{\langle \vec{r}, \vec{r} \rangle}$$

$$\vec{x} \mapsto R(\vec{r})\vec{x} + \frac{2d}{\langle \vec{r}, \vec{r} \rangle}\vec{r}$$



#### **Motivation** • Reflection Groups

 $\bigcirc$ more aptly ... **Coxeter Groups** 



**Donald Coxeter** & the spherical tiling (5, 3, 2)

#### Classification of Irreducible Euclidean Coxeter Groups

Lattices

**Polytopes** higher dimensional analogs of polygons and polyhedra Semi-simple finite Lie algebras

## **Euclidean** • Crystallographic Symmetry

<u>Lattice</u>  $\Lambda = \{ \vec{x} \in V \mid \vec{x} = n_i \vec{a_i} \text{ for } n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \} \rightarrow$  integer linear combinations of *n* linear independent basis vectors in *n* dimensional Euclidean space.

Symmetry operation g s.t.  $g\Lambda = \Lambda$ 

A group  $\Gamma$  generated by reflections is <u>crystallographic</u> if it stabilizes some lattice  $\Lambda$ , i.e.  $\gamma \Lambda = \Lambda$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Full symmetry group

#### **Translational symmetries**

(no fixed points)

Describe using **parallel** reflections.

**Point Symmetries through origin** 

(symmetries w/ fixed points)

Describe using reflections through origin.

What are reflection symmetries of lattice  $\Lambda = \{\vec{x} \in V \mid \vec{x} = n_i \vec{a_i} \text{ for } n_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  through the origin?

- Root vector must be a **lattice vector**.
- Take root vector to be primitive minimal length.

**Theorem** For a lattice  $\Lambda$ , if  $\vec{v}$  is a primitive root vector of  $\Lambda$  then its norm  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle$  divides  $2|\Lambda|$ 

**Theorem** For a lattice  $\Lambda$ , if  $\vec{v}$  is a primitive root vector of then its norm  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle$  divides  $2|\Lambda|$ 

So now we can generate all point symmetries (about origin) of lattice!

If we also include **parallel** planes with same roots passing through **non-origin points** ...

... also generate translations!

= full lattice symmetry group

# **Euclidean** • Geometry $\longrightarrow$ Algebra

#### Hyperplane

 $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{r} \rangle = 0$ 

 $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{r} \rangle = d$ 

*Kaleidoscope*! Collection of mirrors

#### Reflection

 $\vec{x} \mapsto R(\vec{r})\vec{x}$ 

$$\vec{x} \mapsto R(\vec{r})\vec{x} + \frac{2d}{\langle \vec{r}, \vec{r} \rangle}\vec{r}$$

All reflection symmetries of a lattice.



#### **Euclidean** • Hexagonal Lattice





**Point Group** 



Kaleidoscope / Kaleidoscope Group<sub>15</sub>

# **Euclidean** • Hexagonal Lattice

full space now tiled by triangles (equivalent upon kaleidoscope reflection)



 $^{\circ}$ 

Kaleidoscope

Fundamental Domain(s)

16

## **Euclidean** • Hexagonal Lattice

image of point at the  $\frac{\pi}{6}$ angle of fundamental domain reflected by all kaleidoscope mirrors



Fundamental Domain(s)



Original Lattice Regenerated! 17 **Y** 

#### **Euclidean** • Fundamental Domain

The *fundamental domain* defined by a group  $\Gamma$  acting on a space *V* is the orbifold (aka orbit-space manifold) defined by  $V/\Gamma$ 

Above,  $\Gamma$  is a kaleidoscope group and V is Euclidean space.

 $V/\Gamma$  is the highlighted 30-60-90 triangle i.e.

#### **Euclidean** • Fundamental Domain

The fundamental domain defined by a group  $\Gamma$  acting on a space *V* is the orbifold (aka orbit-space manifold) defined by *V*/ $\Gamma$ 

Above,  $\Gamma$  is a kaleidoscope group and V is Euclidean space.

 $\longrightarrow V/\Gamma$  is the highlighted 30-60-90 triangle i.e.

Ok ... why is this important?

#### **Fundamental Domains**

Understanding fundamental domains ↔ Classification of irreducible finite Coxeter groups

## **Non-Euclidean** • Brief Note on Spherical Geometry

Can embed n-1 sphere in n dimension Euclidean space  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \{ \vec{v} \in \mathbb{E}^n \mid \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle = 1 \}$ 

Hyperplane Mirrors / Reflection in  $\mathbb{E}^n$  induce hyperplane mirrors / reflections in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ 



# **Kaleidoscopic Symmetry!**

Important concepts underlying above discussion.

A group  $\Gamma$  is <u>crystallographic</u> if it stabilizes some lattice  $\Lambda$ , i.e.  $\gamma \Lambda = \Lambda$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ 

A group  $\Gamma$  is *kaleidoscopic in some space V* if it has finite and non-zero volume orbifold *V*/ $\Gamma$  i.e. fundamental domain.

## **Crystallographic Symmetry!**

In Euclidean space ...





Kaleidoscopic Symmetry

is this group compatible with a lattice? does this group define a good tiling / discretisation?

doesn't make sense in spherical space!



# **Motivation** • Hyperbolic Space

 $\approx$ 

Why study Lorentzian reflection symmetries?



#### Angels and Devils M.C. Escher, 1960.

#### Order-4 Hexagonal Tiling.

24 **Y** 



Choose upper sheet i.e.  $\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{H}^n_+$ 

# **Motivation** • Hyperbolic Space



# **Motivation** • Hyperbolic Space



Hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^{-1,+n}$  normal to **timelike** roots will not intersect  $\mathbb{H}^n$ 

# Minkowski! • Examples

Let's consider both spacelike and timelike reflections in  $\mathbb{R}^{-1,+n}$ 

e.g. Integer Square Lattice in 1+1 Minkowksi



# Minkowski! • Examples

Let's consider both spacelike and timelike reflections in  $\mathbb{R}^{-1,+n}$ 

e.g. Integer Cubic Lattice in 2+1 Minkowksi



Roots are integer points with norm +1, +2, -1, -2

 Point group is infinite i.e. infinitely many mirrors through origin!

# **Preliminary Result** • Too Many Mirrors!

*Result* For a collection of roots corresponding to mirrors through the origin in a Lorentzian space, a point group generated by reflections about these roots is infinite, unless either

(i) The induced metric in the space spanned by the roots is semi-definite.

(ii) The induced metric in the space spanned by the roots is indefinite, but for each pair of roots that span an indefinite space, such roots are orthogonal.

Basic Intuition = Hyperbolic "angles" are not bounded.

**Kaleidoscopic Symmetry?** 

In indefinite spaces ...

Crystallographic Kaleidoscopt Symmetry Symmetry



Kaleidoscopic

... and ...

too many mirrors (unless group is semidefinite or reducible)

How can we have Kaleidoscopic Symmetry in indefinite spaces?

#### **Future Work**

What can be concluded from the above preliminary results?

Most likely ...

**Everything is "boring"** = Kaleidoscopic reflection groups in Lorentzian space must be **reducible** to orthogonal definite **Euclidean** kaleidoscopic groups.



#### **Thank You!**

Big thanks to *Latham* for introducing me to these things and for the exciting math!

To *Prof Henry Cohn* for discussions.

To PSI Program and PSI friends for an unforgettable Master's program!

# 

# **Crystallographic Symmetry!**

Important concepts underlying above discussion.

A group  $\Gamma$  is *crystallographic* if it stabilizes some lattice  $\Lambda$ , i.e.  $\gamma \Lambda = \Lambda$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ 

*Theorem* Angles between reflecting hyperplanes in a crystallographic group can only be  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $\frac{\pi}{3}$ ,  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ ,  $\frac{\pi}{6}$ .

**Fun Fact**: This is also the condition that guarantees elements in the Cartan Matrix for a finite semisimple Lie Group are integers!

# **Euclidean** • Preliminary

**Question**: What polygons tile the plane (2D Euclidean space)?

**e.g.** can a **hexagon** tile the plane?



# **Euclidean** • Preliminary

**Question**: What polygons tile the plane (2D Euclidean space)?

e.g. can a **pentagon** tile the plane?



#### **Fundamental Domains**

Understanding fundamental domains ↔ Classification of irreducible finite Coxeter groups

Fundamental Domain

*Minimality* of Fundamental Domain

Irreducible

Volume in space V bounded by mirror hyperplanes that is minimal (not further divided by operations in  $\Gamma$ )

Require  $\langle \vec{r_i}, \vec{r_j} \rangle \leq 0$  for each distinct root of mirrors bounding fundamental domain

Mirrors defining the fundamental domain cannot be split into two disjoint sets that are mutually orthogonal.

38

#### **Fundamental Domains**

Understanding fundamental domains ↔ Classification of irreducible finite Coxeter groups



Minimality condition + Irreducibility Condition

 $\rightarrow$  no more than n + 1 mirrors in *n* dimension Euclidean Space

#### **Count number of mirrors:**

Less than n mirrorsn mirrorsn + 1 mirrorsUnboundedSpherical "Triangle"!Euclidean "Triangle"!Fundamental DomainCompared to the second s

#### **Preliminary Result** • Fundamental Domains

*Result* For a fundamental domain in an indefinite space bounded by mirror hyperplanes to not be further subdivided upon reflection, need

(i) All spacelike and timelike roots of mirrors are orthogonal

(ii) For spacelike roots,  $\langle \vec{r_i}, \vec{r_j} \rangle \leq 0$ 

(iii) For timelike roots,  $\langle \vec{r_i}, \vec{r_j} \rangle \ge 0$ 

## **Preliminary Result** • Fundamental Domains

**Recall** Minimality condition  $\langle \vec{r_i}, \vec{r_j} \rangle \leq 0 + Irreducibility Condition$  $<math>\longrightarrow$  no more than n + 1 mirrors in n dimension Euclidean Space

This is no longer true in indefinite spaces!

**Result** Minimality condition  $\langle \vec{r_i}, \vec{r_j} \rangle \leq 0$  for spacelike roots + Irreducibility Condition  $\longrightarrow$  arbitrarily many roots/mirror satisfy this if roots span indefinite subspace.

**Result** Minimality condition  $\langle \vec{r_i}, \vec{r_j} \rangle \ge 0$  for timelike roots + Irreducibility Condition  $\longrightarrow$  arbitrarily many roots/mirror satisfy this if roots span indefinite subspace.

## **Future Work**

What can be concluded from the above preliminary results?

Either ...

**Everything is "boring"** = Kaleidoscopic reflection groups in Lorentzian space must be reducible to orthogonal definite Euclidean kaleidoscopic groups.

... or ...

Things are weird=Things are weird when you allow roots to<br/>span indefinite spaces